United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/829,321	04/22/2004	Hyun-Sook Kim	1594.1351	5667
21171 STAAS & HAI	7590 10/31/200 LSEY LLP	EXAMINER		
SUITE 700	DV AVENDIE NIW	HECKERT, JASON MARK		
WASHINGTO	NRK AVENUE, N.W. N, DC 20005	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		1792	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/31/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/829,321	KIM ET AL.	KIM ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Jason Heckert	1792			

	Jason Heckert	1792	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 22 October 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliand time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.* tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beauppeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.	
 4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. ☒ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-4,6-11,18,19,21-24. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ll be entered and an o	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by The arguments are not persuasive. See attached.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	nt 6	>
		- 1/h	

MICHAEL BARR SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE Application/Control Number: 10/829,321 Page 2

Art Unit: 1792

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see page 8, filed 8/30/07, with respect to the rejection under 35 USC 103 (a) of Ryu in view of Sumner have been fully considered and are persuasive. This specific rejection has been withdrawn.

2. However, the examiner maintains the rejection of Imamura in view of Sumner, Kwon in view of Sumner, and Imamura in view of Sumner and further in view of Noguchi. Applicant is relying on the word "directly" to imply or define some type of structural limitation. However, the examiner feels that this is not sufficient to overcome the prior art rejections. Water that enters a washtub is directly inputted into both the tub and drum. Furthermore, if one were to follow the applicant's logic, which attempts to discredit the prior art references, then the instant application does not perform in the way the applicant's assert. For example, applicant claims that Imamura and Kwon fill the tub first, then the drum. If this is true, in the instant application, water is injected into the drum first, and then the tub. Thus, the word "directly" does not define the structure that differs the instant application from the previously presented prior art.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason Heckert whose telephone number is (571) 272-2702. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. to Friday, 8:00 - 5:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/829,321

Art Unit: 1792

Page 3

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on (571)272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JMH